

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 47 (2006) 7965–7968

Gallium(III) triiodide catalyzed conjugate addition of indoles with α , β -unsaturated ketones

Zhi-Hao Huang, Jian-Ping Zou* and Wen-Qing Jiang

Key Laboratory of Organic Synthesis of Jiangsu Province, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Suzhou University, 1 hengyi Street, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215123, China

> Received 6 June 2006; revised 29 August 2006; accepted 30 August 2006 Available online 25 September 2006

Abstract—Reactions of indoles and α , β -unsaturated ketones could be effectively catalyzed by using 10 mol % gallium triiodide to give the corresponding Michael adducts in good to excellent yields. © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Indole is a privilege heterocyclic ring.^{[1,2](#page-3-0)} Many biologically active compounds and natural products are found to be 3-substituted indoles.³ Michael addition of indoles and α , β -unsaturated ketones is an important approach to this class of molecules.^{[4,5](#page-3-0)} Lewis acids such as $CeCl₃$. $7H_2O-NaI,^6$ $7H_2O-NaI,^6$ Bi(OTf)₃,^{[7](#page-3-0)} SmI₃,^{[8](#page-3-0)} I₂,^{[9](#page-3-0)} InCl₃,^{[10](#page-3-0)} and $Cu(OTf)₃¹¹$ $Cu(OTf)₃¹¹$ $Cu(OTf)₃¹¹$ have been used to promote Michael addition reactions.

Gallium triiodide (GaI₃) can be easily prepared by the reaction of metal gallium with iodine.^{12} It has been used as a Lewis acid catalyst for Sakurai reaction, tetrahydropyranylation of alcohols and phenols, and the coupling reaction of carbonyl compounds, amines and diethyl phosphate.[13](#page-3-0) Compared to other Lewis acids, the synthetic application of GaI_3 has not been fully explored. Herein, we report a new application of $Gal₃$ as a Lewis acid catalyst in the synthesis of 3-substituted indoles (Scheme 1).^{[14](#page-3-0)}

The initial reaction of indole 1a and 3-buten-2-one 2a at an ambient temperature in $CH₂Cl₂$ did not give any product until GaI_3 was added into the reaction mixture and stirred for 1 h. After the reaction was completed, the mixture was purified by flash column chromatography to give pure product 4-(3-indolyl)butanone-2 3a, whose structure was characterized by ${}^{1}H$ NMR, ${}^{13}C$ NMR, and HRMS analyses.

The reaction of 1a and 2a was optimized by screening the solvent such as CH₃CN, THF, and CH₂Cl₂ (Table 1, entries 1, 2 and 4), changing the amount of $GaI₃$ and the ratio of indole 1a/3-buten-2-one 2a. It was found that the reaction best proceeded with 10 mol % GaI₃, ratio of indole/3-buten-2-one 1:1 to give $3a$ in a 95% yield (Table 1, entry 4). Increasing the amount of GaI₃ to 20 mol%, the yield of 3a was not further improved (Table 1, entry 6). However, if $GaI₃$ was used

 $X = H$, CH₃; Y = H, 5-Br, 7-CH₃; R¹ = H, CH₃; R² = H, Alkyl, Aryl; R³ = Alkyl, Aryl

Scheme 1. GaI₃-catalyzed Michael addition of indoles.

0040-4039/\$ - see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2006.08.108

Table 1. Effect of reaction conditions on the GaI₃ catalyzed Michael addition of indole 1a and 3-buten-2-one 2a

Entry ^a	Solvent	Time (h)	Amount of $Gal3$ (mol %)	Yield $(\%)$
	CH ₃ CN		10	32
	THF		10	n.r.
3	CH ₂ Cl ₂			47
	CH_2Cl_2		10	95
	CH_2Cl_2		10	90
	CH_2Cl_2		20	94

^a Typical reaction condition: indole:3-buten-2-one = 1:1, 10 mol $\%$

GaI₃.
 $b_{n.r.} = No$ reaction.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 512 65880305; e-mail: wuyanhong@pub.sz.jsinfo.net

Table 2. GaI₃ catalyzed reaction of indoles and α , β -unsaturated ketones

Entry	Indoles	There a Our ₃ catalyzed reaction of matrics and w ₁ p amoutuned nettines α,β Unsaturated ketones	Time (h)	Yield ^a $(\%)$	$\overline{\mathsf{Product}^{\mathsf{b}}}$
$\,1\,$	N H 1a	Ö ${\bf 2a}$	$\,1$	$90\,$	Ω 3a
$\sqrt{2}$	Br- N H	O ${\bf 2a}$	$\,1$	$86\,$	Ω_{\parallel} Br 3 _b O
\mathfrak{Z}	'N H	O ${\bf 2a}$	$1.5\,$	$\bf 84$	0 ^{3c} H.
$\overline{4}$	N H	Ω ${\bf 2a}$	$1.5\,$	$85\,$	
$\sqrt{5}$	Ĥ	Ω 2b	$\sqrt{2}$	$85\,$	\int_{\parallel} 3d H, 3e
$\sqrt{6}$	N H	Ω 2 _b	$\sqrt{2}$	$\bf 87$	$\frac{0}{1}$ N 3f
$\boldsymbol{7}$	CH ₃	ö Cl ₁ $2\mathrm{c}$	$\sqrt{2}$	85	Ω `CI `N´ CH ₃ 3g O
$\,$ 8 $\,$		Ö O $2\mathbf{d}$	$2.5\,$	$80\,$	O H 3h
$\boldsymbol{9}$	H	Ω C ² $2\mathrm{e}$	$\overline{3}$	$81\,$	∩ O $\frac{1}{3i}$ 'N H QCH ₃
$10\,$		ဂူ OCH ₃ 2f	$\sqrt{2}$	$88\,$	Ω N 3j
$\bar{1}1$		Ω 2g	$2.5\,$	72	Ω 3k н
				18	O

N H **3l**

N H

Table 2 (continued)

^a All products were purified by flash column chromatography.

 b All products were characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR and HRMS spectra.

 $c_{\text{n.r.}} =$ No reaction.

in less than 5 mol $\%$, the yield of 3a was significantly reduced to 47% [\(Table 1,](#page-0-0) entry 3).

Under the optimized conditions described above, a series of substituted indoles and α , β -unsaturated ketones were used to evaluate the scope of $GaI₃$ catalyzed Michael addition. A steric hindered 4-methyl-3-penten-2-one 2b reacted with indole 1a to afford product 1b in 85% ([Table 2](#page-1-0), entry 5). The reactions of 1,3-diaryl- α , β unsaturated ketones with indole 1a gave products in 80–88% yields ([Table 2](#page-1-0), entries 7–10). Compound 2g has two conjugated double bonds; interestingly, both monoaddition product 3k and diaddition product 3l were obtained; the former was the major one in a 72%

Scheme 2. Proposed mechanism for GaI₃ catalyzed reaction of indole and α , β -unsaturated ketones.

yield [\(Table 2](#page-1-0), entry 11). Indoles with monosubstitution at 1-, 2-, 5-, or 7-position were studied, and the desired products were obtained in good yields (84–90%) [\(Table](#page-1-0) [2,](#page-1-0) entries 2–4, 6, 7, 12, and 13). 3-Substituted indoles did not produce any product since the reactive site was blocked ([Table 2](#page-1-0), entries 14 and 15). Attempted reactions of α , β -unsaturated aldehydes and α , β -unsaturated esters, such as acrylaldehyde, cinnamaldehyde, methyl acrylate, and ethyl acrylate, failed to give products.

A possible mechanism for the conjugate addition is pro-posed in Scheme 2.^{[15,16](#page-3-0)} GaI₃ coordinating with O atom of α , β -unsaturated ketone to form intermediate 4; then, electron-rich β -position of indole attacking the conjugated $C=C$ double bond of 4 to afford 5, followed by a H-transformation to yield 6 and GaI3, finally, 6 rearranged to target compound 3 and $Gal₃$ participated the next cycle catalytic reaction.

In conclusion, 10 mol $\%$ GaI₃ can effectively catalyze the Michael addition of indoles and α , β -unsaturated ketones to give 3-substituted indoles in good to excellent yields. However, under the same conditions, α , β -unsaturated aldehydes and esters cannot afford the corresponding Michael addition products.

Acknowledgement

We thank the Key Laboratory of Organic Synthesis of Jiangsu Province and Suzhou Scientific Committee for financial supports (JSK016 and SG 0219), and Dr. Wei Zhang of Fluorous Technologies, Inc., University of Pittsburgh Applied Research Center, for his good suggestions and meaningful discussions.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2006.08.108) [2006.08.108.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2006.08.108)

References and notes

- 1. (a) Aubry, C.; Patel, A.; Mahale, S.; Chaudhuri, B.; Marechal, J.-D.; Sutclie, M. J.; Jenkins, P. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 1423–1425; (b) Marugan, J. J.; Manthey, C.; Anaclerio, B.; Lafrance, L.; Lu, T.; Markotan, T.; Leonard, K. A.; Crysler, C.; Eisennagel, S.; Dasgupta, M.; Tomczuk, B. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 926–934.
- 2. (a) Zhang, H.-C.; Ye, H.; Moretto, A. F.; Brumeld, K. K.; Maryano, B. E. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 89–92; (b) Faul, M. M.; Winneroski, L. L.; Krumrich, C. A. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6053–6058; (c) Bennasar, M. L.; Vidal, B.; Bosch, J. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 3597–3609.
- 3. (a) Joule, J. A. In Science of Synthesis; Thomas, E. J., Ed.; Thieme: Stuttgart, 2000; Vol. 10, pp 361–652; (b) Gribble, G. W. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 1045–1075.
- 4. (a) Szmuszkovicz, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 79, 2819– 2821; (b) Noland, W. E.; Christensen, G. M.; Sauer, G. L.; Dutton, G. G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1955, 77, 456–457; (c) Iqbal, Z.; Jackson, A. H.; Rao, K. R. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 2577–2580.
- 5. (a) Harrington, P. E.; Kerr, M. A. Synlett 1996, 1047– 1048; (b) Harrington, P.; Kerr, M. A. Can. J. Chem. 1998, 76, 1256–1265; (c) Loh, T. P.; Wei, L. L. Synlett 1998, 975–976; (d) Loh, T. P.; Pei, J.; Lin, M. Chem. Commun. 1996, 2315–2316; (e) Yadav, J. S.; Abraham, S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Sabitha, G. Synthesis 2001, 2165–2169; (f) Bandini, M.; Cozzi, P. G.; Giacomini, M.; Melchiorre, P.; Selva, S.; Umani-Ronchi, A. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 3700–3704; (g) Arcadi, A.; Bianchi, G.; Chiarini, M.; Anniballe, G.; Marinelli, F. Synlett 2004, 6, 944–950; (h) Ji, S.-J.; Wang, S.-Y. Synlett 2003, 13, 2074–2076.
- 6. Giuseppe, B.; Massimo, B.; Marcella, B. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 4594–4597.
- 7. (a) Reddy, A. V.; Ravinder, K.; Venkateshwar, G. T.; Krishnaiah, P.; Raju, T. V.; Venkateswarlu, Y. Tetra-

hedron Lett. 2003, 44, 6257–6260; (b) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Swamy, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 9121– 9124.

- 8. Zhan, Z.-P.; Yang, R.-F.; Lang, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 3859–3862.
- 9. Bimal, K. B.; Miguel, F.; Clarissa, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 2479–2482.
- 10. Yadav, J. S.; Abraham, S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Sabitha, G. Synthesis 2001, 2165–2169.
- 11. Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Gakul, B.; Reddy, K. V.; Narsaiah, A. V. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 9541–9544.
- 12. Han, Y.; Huang, Y. Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 7277.
- 13. (a) Sun, P. P.; Xian, Y. F.; Xiao, Y. P. J. Chem. Res. 2004, 216; (b) Sun, P. P.; Hu, Z. X. Chin. J. Chem. 2004, 22, 1341; (c) Sun, P. P.; Hu, Z. X.; Huang, Z. H. Synth. Commun. 2004, 34, 4293.
- 14. Typical experimental procedure for preparation of 3-(5 bromo-1H-indol-3-yl)-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-phenylpropan- 1 -one (3m): GaI₃ was synthesized by stirring a mixture of Ga metal (0.1 mmol) and I_2 (0.15 mmol) in 2 mL CH₂Cl₂ (dried with P_2O_5) in flame-dried glassware. After stirring the mixture of Ga and I_2 for several hours, the red color disappeared and the mixture became a transparent liquid. To this solution were added indoles (1.0 mmol) and α , β unsaturated ketones (1.0 mmol), stirred at an ambient temperature. After the reaction was completed (TLC analysis), the resultant mixture was quenched with H_2O (10 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 $(15 \text{ mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, concentrated, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with ethyl acetate: petroleum ether $= 1:6$) to give 3m in 90% yield, mp 189–190 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.04 (s, 1H, NH), 7.87–7.19 (m, 12H, ArH), 7.01 (s, 1H, indole ring), 4.97 (t, 1H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, CH), 3.77–3.63 (m, 2H, CH₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ 37.1, 44.5, 100. 8, 107.9, 108.4, 111.1, 113.5, 118.2, 120.8, 121.1, 123.6, 128.2, 128.4, 128.8, 133.3, 135.1, 137.0, 139.2, 145.4, 147.2, 198.4; HRMS: m/z (%), calcd for C₂₃H₁₇NOClBr (M⁺) 437.0182, found 437.0180 (M⁺, 9.06).
- 15. Bolm, C.; Hildebrand, J. P.; Muniz, K.; Hermanns, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3284.
- 16. Marco, B.; Pier, G. C.; Massimo, G.; Paolo, M.; Simona, S.; Achille, U. R. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 3700–3704.